TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.

The *National Era* is published every Thursday, on the following terms:

Single copy, one year $2.00
Three copies, one year 5.00
Five copies, one year 8.00
Ten copies, one year 15.00
Single copy, six months 1.00
Ten copies, six months 8.00

Voluntary agents are entitled to retain 20 cents commission on each yearly, and 25 cents commission on each semi-yearly, subscriber, *except in the case of Clubs.*

A Club of five subscribers, at $8, will entitle the person making it up to a copy for 6 months; a Club of ten, at $15, to a copy for one year. When a Club has been forwarded, additions may be made to it, on the same terms.

THE NATIONAL ERA.

G. BAILEY, EDITOR AND PROPRIETOR; JOHN G. WHITTIER, CORRESPONDING EDITOR.

VOL. XI. WASHINGTON, D. C., THURSDAY, JULY 2, 1857. NO. 548.

RATES OF ADVERTISING.

Ten cents a line for the *first* insertion, five cents a line for each subsequent one. Ten words constitute a line. Payment in advance is invariably required.

☞ Money may be forwarded, by mail, at *my risk.* Notes on Eastern banks preferred. Large amounts may be remitted in drafts or certificates of deposit.

☞ Subscribers wishing their papers changed, will give the name of the post office changed *from*, as well as the post office they wish it hereafter sent *to*.

☞ All communications to the *Era*, whether on business of the paper or for publication, should be addressed to

G. BAILEY, *Washington, D. C.*

WASHINGTON, D. C.

[*Copyright secured according to law.*]

For the National Era.

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY
OF
A NEW ENGLAND GIRL.

BY MARTHA RUSSELL.

CHAPTER XXV.

I was up very early next morning, for my walk to the Ridge; but early as it was, Mr. Annesley had the start of me. As I passed out to the garden to gather the usual boquet of flowers for the breakfast table, I heard him giving orders about his horse to one of the boys in the back yard. I did not hear what he said distinctly, but I fancied he was going to the Ridge, too; and, for once, I wished that I had indued the power of locomotion which he had hinted at the evening before—the witch's broom-stick—for, by the shortest cut I could take, I could not hope to forestall black Sapor's speed, even if I dared to risk meeting his master in old Alice's presence.

I had now seen enough to know what old Hannah Smith meant, when, in reference to Miss Agnes's marriage, she had said, "If Mr. Ralph said a thing wasn't proper, she'd like to know who would say it was"—and I felt sure that he would draw my secret, or something that would lead to my betrayal, from old Alice, in spite of herself.

I was a long time gathering my flowers that morning, and the sun was already up when I reached the garden gate, over which I found Mr. Annesley leaning.

"Good morning, Miss Ray. You are early with your flowers — or, were they crop't by magic moonlight?"

"By dawn light, rather, sir, for I have but now gathered them."

He reached out his hand, took my boquet, saying—

"Carnations, gillyflowers, phlox, marigold—
'These are flowers
Of sudden manner, and I think are given
To men of middle age!'"

He selected two or three, and gave back the boquet, without manifesting the slightest disposition to change his position, and let me pass.

"What a glorious morning, Miss Lina. See, how the level sunbeams light up the wood, and brighten the face of the old Cliff up yonder. The whole scene is fresh and bright as a boy's dreams of life—and, will fade as quickly!"

"Not fade, but change—deepen into the genial, healthy, fructifying heat of noonday. Why should not the youth's dreams do the same?"

"Because of the world, the flesh, and the devil. But I forget—you are no believer in the god Circumstance, Miss Ray; and, on such a morning as this, I am half inclined to accept your doctrine, and defy him. But I am no boy; at this late day, '*Le jeu ne vaut pas la chandelle.*' Is it not so, Miss Ray?"

"I think not. Few people live to completely exhaust their capacity for happiness or usefulness, and the strength born of a struggle is in itself a rich reward."

"What a little preacher you are! So you really think that there is hope for one like me, who have, especially upon a morning like this, the delightful consciousness of having frittered away my best years in the round of selfish-idle, petty, commonplace pleasures—*sins*, if you will—which the world—the rich world, I mean—calls life!"

"I do."

"But the means, child?"

"Faith in God and yourself, sir."

He lifted his hat reverently at *that* name, and stood for some moments with the fresh breeze lifting his black locks.

"True," he said at last, "but I am human—human, child. Am I to have no human aid?"

"The warm wishes and earnest prayers of all who love you, sir."

He shook his head.

"The aid will be feeble enough, then, little friend, for most of those are under the sod."

"They may not be the less efficient helpers for that, sir. You touched me deeply by the change in his voice; and, besides, you are still young—you have health and energy, courage and perseverance, a keen intellect and a kind heart—and we are told that 'our valors are our best gods.'"

He looked at me keenly, while I shrunk a little in confusion at the recollection that I had been speaking from my early knowledge of him, and not from what our recent acquaintance would warrant.

"I believe you are right. Were you thinking of that apothegm a short time since, when you rose from gathering your flowers, and faced the rising sun with such a firm-set mouth and decided poise of the head?"

"It might have been—I have often had occasion to think of it, sir. Will it please you to let me pass?"

He opened the gate for me, and passed up the box-edged walk by my side.

"You should like this stout evergreen, Miss Ray," he said, as he broke off a twig; "it preaches you our doctrine—self-reliance."

"And trust," I said, as I took the sprig he offered.

"You like Highcliff?" he rejoined, pausing and glancing back over the broad valley, now glittering with yesterday's rain.

"Yes—I think I never saw the country so beautiful as this summer."

"Yet you have passed your life there, I understand."

"Yes—but in a school, and"—

"I understand—only from the pons *asinorum*, or through a microscope. There comes Elliott's boy!"—a lad was coming up the avenue—"and instead of a gallop to the Ridge, I shall have to attend that cursed meeting of selectmen. Will you ride, Miss Ray?"

I certainly felt no disposition to sympathize with his vexation, and, leaving him with the lad, quietly slipped into the house with my flowers, secured a cup of coffee and a mouthful of breakfast in the kitchen, and set off for the Ridge.

I had crossed the ravine, and was descending into a little wooded dell, when I saw a young girl coming towards me rapidly. It was Jenny Joyce, and she was crying as if her children can cry; and it was some time before I could quiet her so far as to get an intelligible account of her grief. Aunt Alice was sick, and little Billy too—oh, so sick, and they talked so strangely. Aunt Alice kept calling for some one, and her mother thought it might be me. Would I be so good as to come and see her, mother had sent her to ask.

"How long has she been sick?"

"Three days—since Monday. The next day, Billy was took."

"Have you had a doctor?"

"They had sent last night, by Mr. Morris's boy, to have him come in the morning."

I went back to the woods below the Cliff, where I knew little Jim Brown would be at that time, with his cows, and bade him go home and tell Miss Annesley that Alice Ross was sick, and I should not probably be home as early as I anticipated.

I found both Alice and the boy in a burning fever—both delirious; and the former, as the child said, talking wildly about the "Pines, her old master, and Philip's child." Sometimes she spoke of Miss Lina, and that had led her neice to send for me. I could only administer a plenty of cold water, and wait patiently for the arrival of the physician. Widow Joyce seemed completely struck down by this new calamity, but little Jenny took courage from my presence, and proved a most efficient helper. The physician came at last. He examined them minutely, while he tried to draw from Widow Joyce some account of the action of the disease in its earliest stages.

"You say the woman was taken first. Where has she been?"

"Nowhere, sir. She has not been a half mile from the house since she came here, last spring."

"Whom have you had here?"

"No one. Miss Ray, there, called two weeks or more ago, and Mr. Morris's boys stop for water when they come up to work."

He seemed perplexed.

"Has the boy been at home all the while?"

"He came from York eight or ten days ago, sir."

"You say she was attacked first?"

"Yes—she had been making up some clothes for Bill, that his father sent up to him, but she had to give up before she got 'em finished."

"Do you know where the clothes came from?"

"No—the lad's father very often sends up such things. Alice washed 'em, and they looked a'most as good as new. Poor boy—maybe he'll never want 'em, or she ever finish 'em!" and the poor woman burst into a flood of tears.

The Doctor beckoned me out of the room.

"Young lady," he said, gravely, "I feel bound to tell you that I think this disease is ship fever—brought here, probably, in those garments. I may be mistaken—I trust I am—but it is unwise for you to remain here."

"Do you think they will die?"

"I cannot say; I shall do what I can, but I fear it is too late."

"The disease is very contagious, is it not?"

"It is so considered."

"Then it will be equally unsafe for me to return to my home, for I have been here three hours. I will remain, and assist Mrs. Joyce. If you, sir, will have the kindness to send a note to Highcliff for me, I shall be very grateful."

"No, no, Miss; you must not do this. There are many chances that you will escape now; but seriously once, if you remain."

"But I have no right to expose others, sir. It is better to remain, and meet whatever result God may order. I am not afraid."

"But your friends, young lady?"

"I have none, sir; I am alone in the world. Or none but my mistress, Miss Annesley, of Highcliff, who can easily supply my place," I added, seeing his puzzled look.

He took two or three strides across the patch of greensward, for we were without the door; then came up to me, and, taking my hand, placed his fingers on my wrist.

"Pulse firm and even; you are a brave girl, and there is some reason in what you say. But"—

He let go my hand, and began his walk again.

"Doctor," I said, seeing him not inclined to speak, "in case your fears are realized, will you have the goodness to look after me, and see that all things are done decently. I have sufficient means in Miss Annesley's hands, I hope, to pay you."

He came up to me again, (he was a tall man, with gray hair and whiskers, reminding me of Mr. Cavendish,) and said, earnestly—

"That I will, my child, if I have to nurse you myself. But first you must promise to put yourself under my care from this moment, and implicitly follow my directions as to diet and exercise."

I promised, and we went within, where I wrote a note for Miss Annesley, briefly stating my reasons for not returning, or such as I might state; for I could not speak of my wish to stay by old Alice; and the Doctor departed, leaving me to my task.

It was well for me that I had been bred inland, and therefore knew nothing of the disease. To me it was like any other fever, to be avoided, if possible, but to be met, when a brave face, and trust in God—therefore, I could not share the physician's fears. Besides, I was young and strong, with a nervous system in perfect health; and so such, death always seems an unreality, and I danced in with the inevitable fate. But I had little time for thought; the patients claimed all my care, and it was near sunset before I could go out to take the fresh air, as had promised Dr. Clark. I wandered over the hill, and stood hopeful and calm to watch the sun sinking below the blue line of the Highlands; but was soon roused by the measured trend of a horse's feet upon the other side of the hill. "It is Mr. Annesley!" I said, and hurried back to the house to meet him, and as he sprang from his saddle beneath the old poplars.

"Well met, Miss Ray!" he said. "So, the lad's tale was true; you have not joined your own folk, 'the people of your father's house,' but are caring for my old friend, like a veritable Sister of Mercy, as you are. I thought to meet you on the road. I hope her illness is very serious, that you stay by her so long."

He spoke pleasantly, almost gaily; and I thought I had never seen his face wear such a bright and genial look before, as when, flinging his horse's bridle over the fence, he confronted me.

"She is very ill, sir," I returned, purposely leaning upon the little gate which gave entrance to the yard; and I sent Miss Annesley a note by Dr. Clark, saying that I should not return to-night. I hoped it would reach her before this."

"I don't think it has, as she said nothing about it, only spoke of your message by the boy. I am sorry to hear bad news of Alice," he added, with a look of concern. "She is a very old friend, and it is kind of you to stay by her. I wanted to speak with her on business; but if she is so ill, I will only look in a moment, and postpone that until another time."

I made no reply, but stood beside the open excuse to prevent him from seeing her, as I felt he would insist upon doing if he knew her danger.

Noting my silence, he added, with a significant smile, "Do you fear malice, Miss Ray, for my impudence this morning, that you thus keep me waiting for admittance? It is getting dark, and Sapor and I may chance to find ourselves at the bottom of some one of these deep ravines,

☞ The office of the *National Era* is removed to the newly-erected "Republican Building," corner of Indiana avenue and Second street.

WASHINGTON, D. C.

THURSDAY, JULY 2, 1857.

MR. BANKS AND THE REPUBLICANS OF MASSACHUSETTS.

An article in last week's *Era* concerning Mr. Banks, and his Letter accepting the nomination of the Know Nothing Convention, was written for the purpose of keeping our own position in relation to Know Nothingism well defined, and free from all ambiguity, and not with a view to influencing the action of the Republicans of Massachusetts, who were assembled in Convention when our paper was going to press, and would decide upon their course before the *Era* could reach them. That Convention, as we supposed it would do, renominated Mr. Banks, and we presume he will be elected.

As we are not a party man, we are not responsible for the action of any party; and all that we need say, therefore, is that, in our opinion, Republicans would act more consistently and efficiently, if they would keep themselves free from coalitions, and especially free from all association with the policy of the Know Nothing movement.

The text of our comment last week was a telegraphic report of Mr. Banks's letter. Since then, we have seen the whole letter, and we laid it aside for publication, but unfortunately it disappeared. We regret this the more, as the portion of the extract we quoted concerning "foreign influence," had been torn from its connection, and standing alone bore a construction not warranted by the context which the telegraphic operator omitted. We hope yet that we may obtain a copy of the letter.

MR. DOUGLAS ON THE QUESTIONS OF THE DAY.

We need not say that Mr. Douglas is a bold and shrewd politician. He does not permit himself to be overlooked or to be kept in the background. He knows when to challenge the attention of his Party, and on what topics he can speak with most effect. He does not wait for others to take the lead, but prefers himself to strike the key-note for his Party; and he is always on the alert to benefit by the blunders of his opponents or the weakness of his rivals. While supporting the Administration of Mr. Buchanan, he contrives to assume the position of a guide, rather than that of a follower. With the utmost confidence that the Administration will do right, he takes good care to state what that shall be held.

He has been making an elaborate speech at Springfield on the Questions of the Day—Kansas, the Dred Scott Decision, and Utah. The synopsis given in the *Chicago Times* of the 26th ult. is copied into the Southern papers, with unqualified expressions of approbation on the part of the Disunion papers. The Richmond *South* lauds it as a specimen of bold and commanding statesmanship, and evidently regards Douglas as *the man* of the Democratic Party for 1860. We do not wonder at this, for, although the full report of the speech has not yet reached us, the positions taken by that gentleman, as stated in the synopsis of his remarks, are all that the extreme Pro-Slavery party can demand.

He concedes nothing to Northern Sentiment—he is implacable against Black Republicanism—he drops not a word from which might be inferred a suspicion of his belief that affairs in Kansas had not been conducted with exemplary fairness.

In relation to the Kansas Question, his seems to be the bold Southern Policy. The Convention law is a fair one—all the People entitled to vote were secured by it in the right of voting—if they unlawfully refuse to exercise this right, on their own heads will rest the consequences—the Democratic Party in Congress and in the Territory will have done its duty. The inference is, that should the Convention—the Free State Party having refused to vote—frame a Pro-Slavery Constitution, and send it to Congress, Senator Douglas will not hesitate to advocate its ratification, though the Free State men should decide for the result. Not a word about the propriety of submitting the Constitution, when formed, to a popular vote in the Territory—the policy to which Gov. Walker has pledged himself and the Administration. On this point, deemed vital by the Southern ultras, Mr. Douglas chooses to withhold his concurrence with the Administration, and, if proceeded, probably, to win confidence for himself at its expense with the extreme Southern Party.

The Dred Scott Opinion he sustains with vehemence, remarking that the two points settled by it are, first, that negroes are not citizens, secondly, that any restriction upon Slavery by Congress is unconstitutional.

Black Republicanism, he says, repudiates this decision, and thus places itself in opposition to the Constitution and laws. It goes for negro equality and anarchy—and on these issues, he is prepared to meet it. This is cunning, but not truthful. Black Republicanism will not permit you to frame issues for it—it will make its own issues, and compel you to confront them. The first issue it makes is, a doctrine of the Declaration of Independence which makes men born equal: "endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights," among these, "life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness." Black Republicanism affirms, Black Democracy denies. Black Republicanism is sustained by Thomas Jefferson, Black Democracy by Roger Taney. Another point it makes is, that the People, the fountain of Law, are more potential than the Supreme Court, the interpreter of Law; and when they come to understand that the interpreter misrepresents them, they will correct the evil. Black Republicanism is not the opponent of the Constitution or Laws, but the determined enemy of those who pervert them and those who uphold their perverters. Black Republicanism, in a word, is devoted to the Constitution and Laws, will change the Federal Administration, and, finally, the Federal Courts, so that the People shall govern. Black Republicanism believes in the right of the American People to rule themselves: Black Democracy recognises the usurpation of the Class Interest of Slavery, and whatever Judicial decisions may sustain its usurpation.

As to Utah, Mr. Douglas has no doubt that the President will do his duty boldly; but he admonishes him incidentally as to the course he ought to pursue. Remove Brigham Young and all the Mormon functionaries; fill their places with Gentiles, good and true men; sustain these with an adequate military force; inquire into the robberies, the outrages, the murders, that have been committed, and punish them, if possible; but, should everything fail, then repeal the organic law, blot out the territorial organization of Utah, and bring the offenders there to trial, under the law of 1790, before the tribunals in the nearest organized States or Territories. This would be his method of dealing with "the bestial and treasonous practices" of Mormonism. If Buchanan should pursue this course, he would seem to be following the guidance of Mr. Douglas—should he decline to do so, and fail, his failure would be attributed to his not following so good advice.

Mr. Douglas has spoken, and we suppose he must now be considered a candidate for the succession in 1860—and no small claims upon the confidence and sympathies of the Slavery Propaganda of the South. It is a curious spectacle, that while Governor Walker, a citizen of the slaveholding State of Mississippi, is risking his all of political influence and position to induce the Pro Slavery Convention to submit the question of Slavery to a vote of all the People of the Territory of Kansas, pledging himself to oppose its action, should it refuse to do so, Senator Douglas, a citizen of the non-slaveholding State of Illinois, declining to aid or countenance this liberal policy, intimates his purpose to sustain the action of that Convention, whatever it may be!

The People will ponder this curious exhibition.

THE TRUTH OF HISTORY—A RECORD OF FACTS FOR RECENT REPUBLICANS.

In the *Era* of June 4th, we quoted from the "*Manual of United States History*," by Samuel Eliot, Professor of History and Literature in Trinity College, the following statement concerning Abolitionism: *it was directed, not*

"This was Abolitionism—so called, from its demand that Slavery should be abolished, and this immediately, *without reference to the Constitution, or the institutions of the South*, to the claims of the master or the fortunes of the slave. Whatever its motives, its course was *professedly unscrupulous, sparing neither the interests against which it warred, nor even those which it was intended to sustain.*"

We pronounced this a "groundless and unjust representation," and said:

"The Abolitionism of 1832, 1834, and 1836, was not the Abolitionism which Mr. Eliot and now see around him. It did not repudiate the Federal Constitution, or claim that under it the Federal Government had a right to abolish Slavery in the States. It did not propose to dissolve the Union, but professed the highest regard for it. It recognised State Rights and the sovereignty of the States in their local institutions. It was not political, but religious and philanthropic. It dealt with Slavery primarily as a question of right and wrong; denounced it as a sin, and labored to bring the public conscience of immediate emancipation—not, however, as Mr. Eliot asserts, without reference to the constitutional principles which he says it chose the claims of the master, or the fortunes of the slave. For, while insisting on the application of fundamental principles, it had careful reference to the mode of application. To the duty of the People of the free States, it said, was to repeal the laws by which people of color were degraded among them, and to bear testimony against the evil of Slavery in every lawful way: the duty of the Federal Government, to put an end to Slavery in territory over which it had exclusive jurisdiction; the duty of the States in which slaveholding State to agitate the question till such a Public Opinion should be created as would embody itself in a legitimate legislative act of emancipation. We could quote from all its newspapers and anthentic documents, to show the truth of our representation, were it necessary."

We have been called upon to make good our assertions, by proof. It is but proper that we should state our claims to be a competent witness.

We commenced our career as an Anti-Slavery editor in Cincinnati, in the summer of 1836; passed through several mobs, until Freedom of discussion was established; and have continued editing there till 1846-'47; became the corresponding secretary of the Ohio State Anti-Slavery Society in 1836, and from year to year prepared its reports, and superintended its business; organized in 1839-'40 the first Liberty party in the West; commenced, January, 1847, the *National Era* in Washington, and through it ever since have been advocating the original principles of the Abolitionists continued editing there till 1846-'47; became the corresponding secretary of the Ohio State Anti-Slavery Society in 1836, and from year to year prepared its reports, and superintended its business; organized in 1839-'40 the first Liberty party in the West; commenced, January, 1847, the *National Era* in Washington, and through it ever since have been advocating the original principles of the Abolitionists of 1834, 1835, and 1836.

We do not wonder at this, for, although the full report of the speech has not yet reached us, the positions taken by that gentleman, as stated in the synopsis of his remarks, are all that the extreme Pro-Slavery party can demand.

He concedes nothing to Northern Sentiment—he is implacable against Black Republicanism—he drops not a word from which might be inferred a suspicion of his belief that affairs in Kansas had not been conducted with exemplary fairness.

In relation to the Kansas Question, his seems to be the bold Southern Policy. The Convention law is a fair one—all the People entitled to vote were secured by it in the right of voting—if they unlawfully refuse to exercise this right, on their own heads will rest the consequences—the Democratic Party in Congress and in the Territory will have done its duty. The inference is, that should the Convention—the Free State Party having refused to vote—frame a Pro-Slavery Constitution, and send it to Congress, Senator Douglas will not hesitate to advocate its ratification, though the Free State men should decide for the result. Not a word about the propriety of submitting the Constitution, when formed, to a popular vote in the Territory—the policy to which Gov. Walker has pledged himself and the Administration. On this point, deemed vital by the Southern ultras, Mr. Douglas chooses to withhold his concurrence with the Administration, if proceeded, probably, to win confidence for himself at its expense with the extreme Southern Party.

The Dred Scott Opinion he sustains with vehemence, remarking that the two points settled by it are, first, that negroes are not citizens, secondly, that any restriction upon Slavery by Congress is unconstitutional.

Black Republicanism, he says, repudiates this decision, and thus places itself in opposition to the Constitution and laws. It goes for negro equality and anarchy—and on these issues, he is prepared to meet it. This is cunning, but not truthful. Black Republicanism will not permit you to frame issues for it—it will make its own issues, and compel you to confront them. The first issue it makes is, a doctrine of the Declaration of Independence which makes men born equal: "endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights," among these, "life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness." Black Republicanism affirms, Black Democracy denies. Black Republicanism is sustained by Thomas Jefferson, Black Democracy by Roger Taney. Another point it makes is, that the People, the fountain of Law, are more potential than the Supreme Court, the interpreter of Law; and when they come to understand that the interpreter misrepresents them, they will correct the evil. Black Republicanism is not the opponent of the Constitution or Laws, but the determined enemy of those who pervert them and those who uphold their perverters. Black Republicanism, in a word, is devoted to the Constitution and Laws, will change the Federal Administration, and, finally, the Federal Courts, so that the People shall govern. Black Republicanism believes in the right of the American People to rule themselves: Black Democracy recognises the usurpation of the Class Interest of Slavery, and whatever Judicial decisions may sustain its usurpation.

As to Utah, Mr. Douglas has no doubt that the President will do his duty boldly; but he admonishes him incidentally as to the course he ought to pursue. Remove Brigham Young and all the Mormon functionaries; fill their places with Gentiles, good and true men; sustain these with an adequate military force; inquire into the robberies, the outrages, the murders, that have been committed, and punish them, if possible; but, should everything fail, then repeal the organic law, blot out the territorial organization of Utah, and bring the offenders there to trial, under the law of 1790, before the tribunals in the nearest organized States or Territories. This would be his method of dealing with "the bestial and treasonous practices" of Mormonism. If Buchanan should pursue this course, he would seem to be following the guidance of Mr. Douglas—should he decline to do so, and fail, his failure would be attributed to his not following so good advice.

Mr. Douglas has spoken, and we suppose he must now be considered a candidate for the succession in 1860—and no small claims upon the confidence and sympathies of the Slavery Propaganda of the South. It is a curious spectacle, that while Governor Walker, a citizen of the slaveholding State of Mississippi, is risking his all of political influence and position to induce the Pro Slavery Convention to submit the question of Slavery to a vote of all the People of the Territory of Kansas, pledging himself to oppose its action, should it refuse to do so, Senator Douglas, a citizen of the non-slaveholding State of Illinois, declining to aid or countenance this liberal policy, intimates his purpose to sustain the action of that Convention, whatever it may be!

The People will ponder this curious exhibition.

THE TRUTH OF HISTORY—A RECORD OF FACTS FOR RECENT REPUBLICANS.

In the *Era* of June 4th, we quoted from the "*Manual of United States History*," by Samuel Eliot, Professor of History and Literature in Trinity College, the following statement concerning Abolitionism: *it was directed, not*

"This was Abolitionism—so called, from its demand that Slavery should be abolished, and this immediately, *without reference to the Constitution, or the institutions of the South*, to the claims of the master or the fortunes of the slave. Whatever its motives, its course was *professedly unscrupulous, sparing neither the interests against which it warred, nor even those which it was intended to sustain.*"

We pronounced this a "groundless and unjust representation," and said:

"The Abolitionism of 1832, 1834, and 1836, was not the Abolitionism which Mr. Eliot and now see around him. It did not repudiate the Federal Constitution, or claim that under it the Federal Government had a right to abolish Slavery in the States. It did not propose to dissolve the Union, but professed the highest regard for it. It recognised State Rights and the sovereignty of the States in their local institutions. It was not political, but religious and philanthropic. It dealt with Slavery primarily as a question of right and wrong; denounced it as a sin, and labored to bring the public conscience of immediate emancipation—not, however, as Mr. Eliot asserts, without reference to the constitutional principles which he says it chose the claims of the master, or the fortunes of the slave. For, while insisting on the application of fundamental principles, it had careful reference to the mode of application. To the duty of the People of the free States, it said, was to repeal the laws by which people of color were degraded among them, and to bear testimony against the evil of Slavery in every lawful way: the duty of the Federal Government, to put an end to Slavery in territory over which it had exclusive jurisdiction; the duty of the States in which slaveholding State to agitate the question till such a Public Opinion should be created as would embody itself in a legitimate legislative act of emancipation. We could quote from all its newspapers and anthentic documents, to show the truth of our representation, were it necessary."

We have been called upon to make good our assertions, by proof. It is but proper that we should state our claims to be a competent witness.

We commenced our career as an Anti-Slavery editor in Cincinnati, in the summer of 1836; passed through several mobs, until Freedom of discussion was established; and have continued editing there till 1846-'47; became the corresponding secretary of the Ohio State Anti-Slavery Society in 1836, and from year to year prepared its reports, and superintended its business; organized in 1839-'40 the first Liberty party in the West; commenced, January, 1847, the *National Era* in Washington, and through it ever since have been advocating the original principles of the Abolitionists of 1834, 1835, and 1836.

We do not intend that these facts shall be lost sight of. The early Abolitionists, so far as our influence can go, shall not be discredited through ignorance and prejudice. There are Republicans, so called, who would separate their movement entirely from that which those men claimed was inaugurated. We maintain that the movements are one: the early Abolitionists were only the pioneers. They were stigmatized as incendiaries, fanatics, amalgamationists, traitors; so are Republicans now. What is the difference? *They* counted only by thousands; Republicans count by hundreds of thousands: their voices were overwhelmed by the universal uproar against them; Republicans, commanding a majority of the leading journals of the Union, in possession of nearly all the free States, and with more than a million of voters, can hurl back the charges, and make themselves not only heard, but felt. And yet some of them are pusillanimous enough to disclaim any connection or sympathy with those brave pioneers, without whose labors they could not have been enabled them to proclaim, without fear of proscription, doctrines, whose promulgation in 1836 imposed political and social degradation, and the pains and penalties of Lynch Law.

But we are to prove our representation of the Abolitionism of 1832, 1834, and 1836, to be true.

January 30, 1832, the New England Anti-Slavery Society was organized. In its first report, page 21, it says:

"The national compact was so framed as to guaranty the legal possession of slaves, and any interference therewith would be a violation of Christian principle."

Early Abolitionism, in this case, is rather behind Republicanism, which finds no such guaranty in the national compact.

The New York City Anti-Slavery Society, organized October, 1833, in their address, said:

"We have no right to any interference with the constitutional rights of the slaveholding States; for Congress, as is well understood, has no power to abolish Slavery in the several States."

These were the men who, according to Mr. Eliot, demanded the abolition of Slavery, "*without reference to the Constitutions or the institutions of the South.*"

December of the same year, the managers of this Society printed and circulated a petition to Congress for the abolition of Slavery in the District of Columbia, commencing as follows:

"Your petitioners, inhabitants of the city of New York, beg leave to represent to your honorable body, that whatever views they may entertain of the evils of Slavery as it exists in certain States of the Federal Union, they are fully aware that these evils are beyond the constitutional control of the Federal Government; and do not wish to encourage any interposition for their removal, they would deprecate the interference of Congress on this subject as a violation of the national compact."

This, Mr. Eliot, was Abolitionism in 1832—how reckless of the Constitution, how "unscrupulous," how incendiary! Is this gentleman aware that, of the innumerable petitions sent to Congress by Abolition Societies, not one asked for the abolition of Slavery in the States? Among all the reasons urged for the passage of gag rules in Congress, he will never find the assumption made that Congress had called upon Congress to interfere with State Slavery.

The *Liberator* was established January, 1831—January, 1832, the New England Society was formed. The agitation rapidly spread, and aroused the South, the press of which imputed the worst designs. To correct misrepresentation, Daniel Webster, in reply to John Bolton, of Savannah, Georgia, wrote for publication in a letter dated April 17, 1833. He was living in Boston, the headquarters of the Anti-Slavery movement.

"In my opinion," he says, "the domestic Slavery of the Southern States is a subject within the exclusive control of the States themselves; and this, I am sure, is the opinion of the whole North. Congress has no authority to interfere in the emancipation of slaves, or in the treatment of them by any of the States. This was resolved in the House of Representatives in 1790, on the report of a committee consisting of Northern members; and I do not know an instance of any variety of vote, either religious privileges; but the Society will never, in any way, countenance the oppressed in vindicating their rights by resorting to physical force.

Equally emphatic in the language of their Declaration of Sentiments:

"We fully and unanimously recognise the sovereignty of each State to legislate exclusively on the subject of Slavery which is tolerated within its limits; we concede that Congress, under the present national compact, has no right to interfere with any of the slave States in relation to this momentous subject."

The last document we shall submit is full and explicit. It was prepared for reading in behalf of the principles of the Abolitionists of 1832, 1834, and 1836. The document was intended once for all to silence the false charges brought against the Abolitionists, and it appeared in the form of an Address by the officers and Executive Committee of the American Anti-Slavery Society, dated September 3d, 1835, and published in the New York *Evening Post* about the same time. The *Post* spoke of it in the highest terms, and endorsed every sentiment in it, except that relating to the duty of Congress to abolish Slavery in the District of Columbia. We bespeak for this Address the attention of every one who would understand the principles and aims of the early Anti-Slavery movement:

In behalf of the American Anti-Slavery Society, we solicit the candid attention of the public to the following declaration of our principles and objects, which the charges which are brought against us, made only by individuals who are interested in the continuance of Slavery, and by such as are influenced solely by prejudice and the want of correct information:

And the New York *Emancipator*, July 6th, 1833, transferring the letter to its columns, says:

"Mr. Webster's opinion on the subject of Slavery in the States of this Union, so far as expressed, is just the same as has been given more than once avowed in every Anti-Slavery paper in the country—that it is a subject within the exclusive control of the States themselves."

Gerrit Smith, once a Colonizationist and Vice President of the Colonization Society, while he held that position, in a speech, delivered at its Anniversary in New York, Jan. 20, 1834, thus vindicated the Anti-Slavery Society:

"I believe that Society to be as honest as our own—as benevolent and patriotic as our own. Its members love their fellow men, and love their country, and love the Union of the States, and sincerely desire the good of each as much as is said to the contrary on this point, I have never seen a particle of evidence that the Anti-Slavery Society meditates any interference with the Slavery question as it is in the Slave States on the subject of Slavery. It alleges, and I have no doubt sincerely, that it is by moral influence only, and that, too, for the changes wrought by the application of truth to the conscience, that it seeks to compass its object."

This same gentleman, [announcing, November 12, 1835, his adhesion to the American Anti-Slavery Society, remarked:

"All I now contend for—and I trust that in this every intelligent member of the Anti-Slavery Society agrees with me—is, that he (the slave), be delivered immediately from his chains, and raised immediately from a chattel, a thing, to a man. Nor need I disclaim, for myself and for the Anti-Slavery Society, all purpose of interfering with the Slavery question as it exists in States, and of taking into our own hands the work of liberating the slaves in them. This work belongs to the masters, and to them only. All we ask—and this we cannot be denied, for it is a correlative duty of an inherent right—is, that we may kindly, temperately, prudently, and faithfully employ the means at our holding brethren, as we think will persuade them to make this change. This is all we ask; and this we cannot be denied. All cowardly as to dread the power of these arguments, and the end the change which we propose to have effected, and which can be effected only through a correct understanding of it, by their own minds and by the instrumentality of their own hands?"

This was the Abolitionism which Mr. Eliot avers was "unscrupulous," and reckless of "the Constitution and the institutions of the South!" That to-day Mr. Garrison advocates a dissolution of the Union, and Gerrit Smith claims for the Federal Government the right to abolish Slavery in the States, are facts no more than the Abolitionists of 1832, 1834, and 1836, did either.

Judge William Jay, son of the illustrious Jay of the Revolution, was one of the early Abolitionists, as he has always been one of their ablest writers. In 1835, in a volume devoted to an inquiry into the tendencies of the American Colonization and the American Anti-Slavery Societies, he devoted a chapter to this very charge, brought against Abolitionism, of an intention to interfere, by legislation or force, with Slavery in the States. We need not say that, in his own writings, he has always clearly recognised the generally-admitted limitation on the power of Congress in relation to the matter:

"We have seen," he says, in the volume alluded to, "that the charges against the Abolitionists are vague, and without specifications. Friend Hubbard and Mr. Gurley, however, give us the following as their charges, in a tangible shape—the one asserts the Abolitionists are laboring to abolish Slavery by causing the National legislation to act directly on the Slaves, and, by this, compel them to emancipate their slaves; the other insists that it is one of their fundamental principles that Slavery is to be abolished by the National Government, by the influence of the will of the South. The obvious and only meaning of these assertions is, that it is the wish and object of the Abolitionists to induce Congress to abolish Slavery in the States. One would think that this charge, if true, might be easily proved. Some petition, some recommendation, might be produced, for the purpose of having ever seen any proof of this charge, we have never seen even an *attempt* to prove it.

The charge was no more common against them, than it is now against Republicanism; there was no more *attempt* made to prove it against them, than there is now, to prove it against Republicanism; it is the same old cry against those, but not more false than it was when made against those.

On the 4th of December, 1833, a National Anti-Slavery Convention, containing delegates from ten States, met in Philadelphia, and, after a session of three days, organized the American Anti-Slavery Society, issued a Declaration of Sentiments, which was formed a basis, and in this Constitution we are to look for an authentic exposition of the Principles of Abolitionism, and they are contained in two articles, as follows:

"ARTICLE 2. The object of this Society is the entire abolition of Slavery in the United States. While it admits that each State in which Slavery exists has, by the Constitution of the United States, the exclusive right to legislate in regard to its abolition in that State, it shall aim to convince all our fellow-citizens, by arguments addressed to their understandings and consciences, that slaveholding is a heinous crime in the sight of God; and that the duty, safety, and best interests of all concerned, require its immediate abandonment, without expatriation. The Society will also endeavor, in a constitutional way, to obtain the control of, and to put an end to the domestic slave trade, and to abolish Slavery in all those portions of our common country which exist under its control, especially in the District of Columbia, and likewise to prevent the extension of it to any State that may hereafter be admitted to the Union.

"ARTICLE 3. This Society shall aim to elevate the character and condition of the people of color, by encouraging their intellectual, moral, and religious improvement, and by removing public prejudice; that thus they may, according to their intellectual and moral worth, be admitted to equal rights with the whites, of

New York, September 3, 1835.

The documents submitted prove that Mr. Eliot, in his Manual of History, has misrepresented (unintentionally, we donbt not) the character and aims of the original Abolition movement; that our statement of its principles and objects made in the *Era* of June 14th, is correct; that its doctrines concerning Slavery, in relation to the Federal Constitution, the Federal Government, and the States, are identical with those now held by the Republican Party; and that the imputations against it, of unconstitutional and treasonable purposes, were just as false as are similar imputations now made against the Republican Party.

SENATOR DOUGLAS UPON THE MORMONS.

We gave a brief report of a recent speech of Senator Douglas in our last issue, but it was imperfect in several particulars. We do not remember that the Utah question—a verbatim report is before us—but to give the Senator's exact words upon the Utah question. The main part of the speech is taken up with the Slavery question, the Dred Scott decision, and affairs in Kansas. Of course, the Senator upholds Judge Taney's decision, and in Kansas he upholds the bogus laws, not declaring whether in his opinion the Kansas people should frame their Constitution to the people for ratification.

The following is Senator Douglas's summary method of dealing with the Salt Lake Polygamists, provided the charges against them are true:

"In my opinion, the first step should be the absolute unconditional repeal of the Utah organic act—blotting the Territorial Government out of existence—upon the ground that they are treasonably setting the laws at defiance and defying the authorities of the United States.

"The Territorial Government once abolished, the country would revert to its primitive condition under the act of 1850. Under the sole and exclusive jurisdiction of the United States,' and should be placed under the operation of the act of Congress of the 30th of April, 1790, and the various acts supplemental thereto, and amendatory thereof, 'providing for the punishment of crimes against the United States, within any fort, arsenal, dock-yard, and in any *other place or district of country under the sole and exclusive jurisdiction of the United States*.' All offences against the provisions of these acts are to be tried in the State and district where the offenders shall be found, and punished by the courts.

"Thus, it will be seen, that under the plan proposed, Brigham Young and his confederates could be 'apprehended and brought for trial' to how set Kansas, California or Oregon, or to any other adjacent State or Territory, where a fair trial could be had, and justice administered between the parties; where the witnesses could be protected and the judge and jury and court could be carried into execution, without violence or intimination.

"I do not propose to introduce any new principles into our jurisprudence, nor to change the modes of proceeding or rules of practice in our courts. I only propose to place the district of country embraced within the Territory of Utah under the operation of the same laws and rules of proceeding, that Kansas, Nebraska, Minnesota, and the Territory of Oregon were placed, before they became organized Territories. The whole country embraced within these Territories was under the operation of that same system of laws, by which all the offences committed within the same were punished in the manner now proposed, so long as the country remained under the 'sole and exclusive jurisdiction of the United States;' but the moment the country was organized into Territorial Governments, with Legislative, Executive, and Judicial departments established by law, and placed, as before mentioned, under the sole and exclusive jurisdiction of the United States, within the meaning of the act of Congress, for the punishment of crimes, the law was changed, all existing rights, and place the country under the operation of the territorial laws, and the United States, offenders can be apprehended, and brought into the adjacent States or Territories for punishment, in the same manner and under the same rules of proceeding which obtained, and have been uniformly practiced, under like circumstances, since 1790."

The Senator, after stating his remedy, proceeded to argue, with great ingenuity, that it would not conflict with his previous theories—or with the Constitution.

SPAIN AND MEXICO.

The foreign news respecting the relations of Spain with Mexico is unsatisfactory. Negotiations were still going on, and it was evident that either Spain or Mexico was raising impediments to prevent the prompt settlement of matters in dispute. There can be little doubt that it is the Spanish authorities who seek occasion for delay. Among the causes of delay, to aggravate the difficulties in the way of a settlement.

Stories are rife that Spain will demand the re-conversion of Mexico into a Spanish province, with Santa Anna as its Viceroy, but we have no faith in such gossip. Any attempt on the part of Spain to re-conquer Mexico can but result in her destruction, and it is not at all unlikely that, were she foolishly to make the attempt, that this Administration would take advantage of some phase of the war to wrest Cuba from her possession. It is so palpable that Spain can only lose by war, that we do not believe her present movement is more than a diplomatic feint, out of which she expects to gain something—as scarcely known as yet.

As for Mexico, though we regret the policy that Santa Anna is to be Spanish Viceroy in Mexico as the idle invention of a letter-writer, yet we should not be in the least surprised to see that gentleman reappear upon the stage of Mexican affairs, and again assume power in Mexico.

Santa Anna is a shrewd statesman—always more shrewd in gaining power than in keeping it. The possession of high office intoxicate him to such a degree, that he seems incapable of avoiding his own ruin.

The alleged *cause* for war on the part of Mexico is set forth as follows by the Boston *Traveller*:

"The reason, it is said, why the Mexicans and the Spaniards are likely to get at loggerheads yet, though peace between them has been announced as often as the pacification of Kansas, is the appointment of a Governor for Utah, is this: Mexico repudiated certain bonds in which the Spanish Government is interested! Of all the practical jokes we ever heard of, this is the richest. It is much the same as if Mississippi were to quarrel with Pennsylvania, because the latter had failed to pay the interest on her bonds, some Mississippians being among the holders of that valuable scrip. Spain and Mexico are the two repudiating nations of the world, not even Austria being equal to either of them in the way of violating public faith. For two nations to fall foul of each other, because one of them has neglected to pay a bill held by the other, is something so ludicrous, that we should hardly believe it if we found it in the pages of the books; and no romancer would dare to invent anything intrinsically so improbable. He would be accused of having no regard for keeping, if he were to introduce such a circumstance into a mere story. It seems impossible that the two nations can get up a war for so strange a cause; and the motives for the Administration at Washington are sought in something very different from repudiation. Mexico is making a strong effort to throw off the superstitions under which she has so long suffered, and Spain has the most subservient vassal of Rome, is to be employed to aid her conservatives to preserve the reign of ignorance."

MR. BANKS NOMINATED BY THE REPUBLICANS.

The Republicans of Massachusetts met in State Convention, at Worcester, on the 24th ult., and nominated the Hon. N. P. Banks for Governor, receiving on an informal ballot 291 out of 464 votes cast.

A motion was made to nominate Mr. Banks by acclamation. This was opposed. Mr. Charles Allen, of Worcester said that he was one of those who did not vote for Mr. Banks, but he cordially acquiesced in the result.

Mr. Hopkins, of Northampton, hoped, before the Convention proceeded to vote, to be able to acquiesce in the doings of the Convention, but he wanted light in a certain quarter. Mr. Banks was a party candidate, and he stood from any other, before the Commonwealth would return to her pristine purity and vigor. He wanted a distinctly-defined Republican party. He did not like the position of Mr. Banks on the platform by accepting their nomination, and he wanted candidates who would hold no fealty to any other organizations. Mr. Banks is a member of that party, in such a sense as to make him a questionable candidate for a Republican Convention. If Banks would stand on the Philadelphia platform, he would unequivocally, the matter would be cleared up.

Hon. Anson Burlingame was called on to reply, and was greeted with hearty applause. He urged that what was wanted is common sense, in order to embody the opposition to Slavery in practical operation. He spoke of Governor Banks as a man who had performed a that good sense. The great desire of every true friend of Freedom is to keep the great army of the Free Democracy together, and to secure the House of Representatives next fall."

Mr. Banks was then formally nominated, receiving 337 ont of 431 votes cast.

Oliver Warner, of Northampton, was nominated for Lieutenant Governor, and T. D. Elliot, of New Bedford, for Attorney General.

The following resolutions were passed by the Convention:

"*Resolved*, That the Republican party of Massachusetts, with unwavering fidelity to the principles of the Philadelphia Platform, recognises the obligation of the highest test of political fellowship, and will support no candidates for public office who do not heartily, unequivocally, and in good faith, subscribe to those principles, and will regard them as the paramount object of political action.

"*Resolved*, That we regard the decision of the court in the case of Dred Scott as a perversion of judicial power for political purposes; an insult to the memory of the fathers of our country and the founders of our Government; a violation of the fundamental principles of law; a perversion of history; an enrenchment upon the rights of the States, and a blow practically struck at free dom and the rights of man.

"*Resolved*, That in view of the alarming encroachments of the slave power hitherto, of the violation of plighted faith in the repeal of the Missouri Compromise, of its outrages in Kansas, of its flagrant perversion of law and justice in the Dred Scott decision, which are but the natural results of the inherent wickedness of chattel slavery, the Republican party of Massachusetts pledges anew its untiring and unyielding opposition to the further extension of this inhuman measure, to its existence and further extension."

DEFALCATION IN THE OHIO STATE TREASURY.

The late Treasurer of Ohio is ont in the Ohio papers with a statement, in which he says:

"My predecessor failed to pay what he owed to the State by a very large sum. He paid what he accounted for promptly and well, but failed to put me in funds to meet receipts which he had given county Treasurers. These were only holding on the State, and I was compelled to receive them as cash. When Governor Brealin failed to put me in possession of the funds, I should have made it public.

"The whole matter, as it stands with me amounts to positive weakness, led me to rely upon his assurances, and say he had paid all, except the $20,000 reported.

"This was my great and only error. It may have been wrong. I found it impossible to obtain the amount from him, and it was then impossible for me to prepare the funds, and invoke a legal examination. Its result will soon be known, and by it I must abide.

"No one has ever suffered by me, nor shall they.

"I rely upon a full acquittal from pecuniary liability to the State, and for just censure. Conscious of no wrong or evil design, I can cheerfully I despond. I will devote my life to extricating myself from this misfortune."

In the mean time, a committee of gentlemen of all parties, appointed by Gov. Chase, is examining into the accounts of the Treasury Department, and it is to be hoped that they will discover the guilty parties, and the use made of the mis-appropriated State funds.

At a meeting of the citizens of Columbus, irrespective of party ties, the following resolutions were passed:

"*Resolved*, That we, as citizens of Ohio, have learned with deep regret the startling fact, that a defalcation of over half a million of dollars exists in the Treasury of our State. We unite with the people everywhere in denouncing all who have in any way participated in this act of robbery and public plunder. We have no desire, nor will we tolerate any attempt, to shield the guilty. We ask a prompt and careful examination of the case, and the fullest exposure, to the extent of the liability of the parties who have perpetrated this outrage, without regard to party or past political associations.

"*Resolved*, That we highly approve of the prompt and energetic action of Gov. Chase in the premises. We approve of his selection of gentlemen of different politics, of well established character for integrity, to make the necessary investigation. We trust they will be able to show to the public the true condition of the State when and where this grand robbery took place, and to designate beyond a reasonable doubt the guilty party or parties to the fraud.

"*Resolved*, That while we deplore the act as a base betrayal of the trust of a generous people, we are all prond to unite with our fellow-citizens of all parties, in the emergency, in demanding this heavy loss, the plighted faith of the State shall not for a moment be tarnished, but all our obligations, at home and abroad, must and shall be met with the same promptness that has ever heretofore characterised the financial history of Ohio."

MINNESOTA.—The Minnesota *Republican* of June 16 foots up the election returns in the following manner:

Republicans elected	-	56
Democrats ditto	-	40
Democrats to spare	-	6
It adds:		

"It is proper to remark, that in the St. Anthony district, the seats of four Republicans will be contested; though, if we are to be guided by Democratic precedent, they are fairly entitled to their seats. The Democrats have not entirely excluded, as being outside of the limits of the proposed State." The fourth district, which was so long in doubt, comes in all right, five of the six candidates elected being Republicans. Those districts which are yet to hear from are as likely to send as many Republicans as Democrats—so that no reasonable doubt can remain in the minds of any of the success of the Republicans.

"This is a little better than we dared to hope for last week; and should it prove to be as we are now led to believe, we shall 'rejoice with trembling and joy.'"

P. S.—Later and authentic advices from Houston county say that only *one* Democratic delegate was elected there, instead of two, as had been reported. This gives us one more Republican in the eighth district."

WASHINGTON ITEMS.

Governor Cummings has left for Utah; he has taken his family with him, and intends to make Salt Lake City his permanent residence. The Baltimore *Sun* says:

"He is doubtless very well fitted for the office at this crisis. The Mormons will probably undertake to resist the Federal authority, for they have no other resort of the power of the Federal Government over this matter.

"There is nothing in the Constitution that justifies a crusade against Polygamists any more than against Presbyterians, Methodists, or Calvinists, or Seventh Day Prophets. The Anti-Slavery journals are the fiercest of all in opposition to the Mormons. If they can find constitutional power for one crusade, they can for another.

"But the administration does not share in the fanatical sentiment of the press, and has not put forth this subject, and the instructions to Governor Cummings will be to take care that the laws shall be obeyed in the Territory, and to exert every constitutional power to secure the due administration of justice."

These are probably Administration views.

Colonel Jack Hays, of Texas notoriety, will probably be Surveyor General of Utah.

Brigham Young has never recognised the United States surveys, and, indeed, not an inhabitant of Utah holds an acre of land by Government title. The Federal courts may have to deal with this matter, though there can be no doubt, if the Mormons will behave themselves, Congress will confirm their titles.

The Washington correspondent of the New York *Times* says of Utah affairs:

"The Government has probably completed the appointments for Utah, both Executive and Judicial, and the instructions for the Governor are no doubt ready. The spirit of these is simply what has been declared by Mr. Buchanan, to wit: that the laws of the United States must be obeyed in the Territory. The body of troops who, it need be, are to aid in the enforcement of the laws, are already detailed for this service, but will not reach the Territory before the first of October next.

"The Mormons generally, as we are advised, deride the idea of the exertion of military force against them, and have among them shrewd lawyers and diplomatists, who will contrive to keep all Mormondom within the pale of constitutional law. Over the conscience of the Mormon the Federal Government has no exercise no control, and their morals cannot be improved by force of arms. It is probable that they will be left to themselves as the past mount object of political action.

"*Resolved*, That we regard the decision of the court in the case of Dred Scott as a perversion of judicial power for political purposes; an insult to the memory of the fathers of our country and the founders of our Government; a violation of the fundamental principles of law; a perversion of history; an enrench

two months, each number containing 160 pages, at four dollars a year. Its editors are man of mark in their profession.

The British Poets. Boston: Little, Brown, & Co. For sale by Taylor & Maury, Washington, D. C.

We are indebted to the American publishers for three more volumes of their beautiful reprint of the British Poets—the Poems of Andrew Marvell. Of this author there has been no edition since 1776,) with a sketch of the author, and a notice of his work, by Henry Rogers; and the Poems of Thomas Chatterton, in two volumes, with deeply interesting notices of his life, reprinted chiefly from the Cambidge (Eng.) edition of 1842.

Tickner & Fields's Publications. Boston: For sale as above.

Two volumes more of the beautiful pocket reprints of Tickner & Fields—the *Sorrows of an Ennuyée*, by Mrs. Jamieson, uniform with the *Loves of the Poets*, and *Characteristics of Women*, and the *Poetical Works of Gerald Massey*, the young poet, who, born in 1828, in a miserable little hovel in England, in which a man could not stand upright, and working, when eight years old, in a factory, from half past five in the morning till half past six in the evening, for ninepence a week, had written enough poetry by the time he was twenty-three years old, to elicit from Walter Savage Landor the remark, that "the volume contains a larger quantity of good poetry than threescore ostentatious volumes, by 'eminent hands.'"

Harper's Monthly Magazine for July, 1857. For sale by Franck Taylor, Washington, D. C.

At last we have the closing chapters of the long-continued *Little Dorrit*, which, as we need not tell many of our readers, ends well for her and Arthur Clennam. There are three or four illustrated articles, in two of which new ground is broken, while there is a cobtinuation in another, of *North Yorkshire*. The first of these we do not appear to us to be all of equal merit; some are quite poor, while others are very agreeable specimens of skill, and represent their subjects happily. In the first of the articles entitled *The Curtis Settlements*, we are introduded to the remnants of that people whom Columbus first saw when he discovered this Continent, as the general name of Caribs seemed to have been given to the Indians or natives of the West Indian islands. It occupies but a few pages, but the article, with its accompanying illustrations, furnishes us with some information respecting the tropical region where these Indians live. It would be a decided advantage, however, were the publishers, in these illustrated articles, to give us a small map showing the particular section of a country where their tourist or traveller hails from. In *North Carolina Illustrated*, we have a plan of the battle of Guilford, taken from Lossing's *Field Book of the Revolution*. This is well. But there is an omission here, that should not have been. On the plan are letters for reference, but nothing corresponding to them in the text, so that one is left to make out as he can, by his own imagination, what A, B, C, *a*, *b*, 1, 2, 3, &c., mean. From the general description of the battle, we may perhaps locate most of the portions of the armies, but we should be aided by suitable explanations. The article on *The Shakers* presents us with sketches of their buildings, mode of worship, costume, agriculture, and operations of objects of traffic at New Lebanon, (where is their principal settlement,) which will be of interest to numerous readers.

In this number, too, we have again a short, popular paper of natural history, on *Lichens*. The story called *The Two Kates* is said to be one of facts, which came to two families residing in the vicinity of Norfolk, Portsmouth, the month of the Potomac. It is well told, and the incidents are curious, yet may he easily conceived of, as having actually transpired. *Causes and Prevention of Epidemics* is a subject that to us in this country is of no slight importance, and the information given and sanitary cautions should not be lightly passed over. The other light articles—*Mr. Quigley's Experience*, *Our Cousin from Town*, *The Holbrook House*—are pleasant stories; and another still, *The Story of a Piano*, as sad and woful as any one could wish. There is likewise a paper bearing the title of *The Emperor Napoleon the Third*, which contains a sketchy account of his career, which emerges him in his illegitimate birth, and that he bears a nearer relationship to the first Napoleon than a nephew. The various scenes and hair-breadth escapes and wild adventure are told, and some facts, with which we are now first made acquainted, introduced. The other usual portions of the magazine, Literary Notices, Editor's Table, &c., Comic Sketches, and Plates of Fashion, complete the number.

Marriage as It Is, and as It Should Be. By John Bayley, of the "Confessions and Experience of a Converted Infidel," &c. New York: M. W. Dodd. 1857. For sale by Franck Taylor, Washington, D. C.

Dr. Issac Watts, the author of a great number of the versified psalms, as well as hymns found in the different collections for worship in some of the religious denominations of our country, wrote a very pleasant little poem, called "Few Happy Matches," in which he playfully adopted the idea, that the souls of men and women were created by pairs, and for each other, and sent forth into the world, that somehow or other, they got strangely sundered, and thus did not, as intended, find each and every one his or her congenial partner. "Married, but not Mated," too, is an old proverb, the truth of which is admitted the world over, and the evils of this want of affinity are great and lasting. So does our author regard it in his book he seeks to do what he can to furnish a remedy. How far he will be successful, remains to be seen. The design, at least, is a good one. His topics are, "Nature and Importance of Marriage; Imprudent Marriages a Source of Vice and Misery; Marrying for Beauty; Mercenary Marriages; The Proper Age for Marriage; Marrying Reciprocally; Good Marriages; The Faithful Husband; The Faithful Wife; The Duty of Parents."

These subjects are most important. It is hardly possible, however, to do much justice to all, or scarcely one of them, in the compass of 175 well-leaded pages of a duodecimo volume. There is nothing very striking in the manner of presenting the various thoughts, nor originality in the course of thought itself. Good, plain common sense, well expressed—a mingled discourse of the descriptive and hortatory, partly too filled with extracts and occasional statistics and anecdote, constitute the matter of these pages. They may be read with profit, but we doubt if the volume will make all the impression on the community which the benevolent author has at heart in giving it to the public. But we most heartily join him in the fervent prayer that it may be attended by the blessing of Heaven in every family where may find a kindly reception, and that such reception also may be given by not a few of our readers, and others.

Pictures of Slavery in Church and State; including Personal Reminiscences, Biographical Sketches, Anecdotes, &c., &c., with an Appendix containing the Views of John Wesley and Richard Watson on Slavery. By Rev. John Dixon Long, a Superannuated Member of the Philadelphia Annual Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church. Philadelphia: Published by the Author. 1857.

Mr. Long states that he was born, and has lived nearly all his life through, in Maryland. His father was a slaveholder, and from the lips of his mother, a devout member of the Methodist Church, he received his first Anti-Slavery lesson. "Fifteen months ago," he says, "it was my expectation to live and die in my native State." He was resolved to patiently endure the reproaches of those who, for his earnestly bearing his testimony in private "to masters against Slavery," and for the sake of his four boys, who were beginning to imbibe a "hatred of work and of slaves," he determined to remove to a free State. And he adds—"A Southern gentleman remarked to me, that, if he had sons, and held my views on Slavery, he would act precisely as I have acted." Accordingly, he removed to Philadelphia, where the prevalence of Pro-Slavery sentiment astonished him, as "the justification of Slavery by a Northern man is intolerable." For this reason, he has borne this testimony of his against the system. He feels qualified by experience and observation to speak truly, as he has done frankly, on this subject. Without method, his remarks on the various bearings of Slavery are interesting and quite a desiderata, as at the present times a short description, an anecdote, a few statistics or an argument, a satiric hit or earnest appeal, each and all have their place. Its chief value is, that it is a book of facts and impressions furnished by one whose opportunities of knowledge cannot be denied. There is no attempt at rice writing, no desire to be eloquent; in point of style it is decidedly the reverse of attractive. The author is no scholar; he publishes at his own risk, and on his own responsibility. Ho will not heed criticism "aimed at the literary excention of the book," for he sets ap no claim for it on this ground. If, however, he has "mis stated facts," he is "open to conviction"—and while his volume was meant for the reasons among whom he has lived and for them, he thinks that, even to the learned, some of his thoughts may prove suggestive. We think so too, and we believe that any candid reader will find in its pages a good amount of information illustrative of the evil he seeks to depict, as well as numerous particulars relating to men and customs, of which he is entitled to expect from his personal sources of correct judgment.

FRANCE.

AWFUL CALAMITY—THE BURNING OF THE STEAMER MONTREAL—THREE HUNDRED LIVES LOST—MANY OTHERS WOUNDED.

Quebec, June 27.—The steamer Montreal was burnt near Carongo, about 12 miles above this city, last night.

There were about 500 passengers on board, mostly Scotch emigrants, of whom only 175 to 200 were saved by the steamer Napoleon.

It is believed that many swam ashore, and were picked up on the banks of the river. It is said that as many as two hundred perished in the flames or were drowned. Mr. Phillips, of Three Rivers, is among the dead. The captain of the Montreal, J. G. Raddph, and the crew, are among the saved.

The reporters have just returned from the steamer Napoleon, which has arrived with the survivors. They are assured by those who were on board that the number of the lost will fully reach three hundred and fifty.

Quebec, June 27, 2 P. M.—The following further particulars relative to the burning of the steamer Montreal have been obtained:

The Montreal left here at four o'clock yesterday afternoon for Montreal, with over five hundred passengers, mostly Scotch emigrants, recently arrived from Europe. Nothing unusual occurred until the steamer passed Cape Dange, twelve miles below this city, when the woodwork near the furnaces was discovered to be on fire. Quickly after, the flames broke forth, causing the utmost consternation among the passengers. Every possible effort was made to appease the flames, but to no purpose. Captain Randolph, finding it impossible to keep the steamer under control, ran her aground the shore. The officers and crew of the Montreal exerted themselves at the same time to get out the life-boats.

The flames spread with the most astonishing rapidity, and the wildest confusion and despair prevailed throughout the ship. A number of passengers threw themselves overboard, and were drowned.

Fortunately, the steamer Napoleon, also for Montreal, was but a few miles in advance of the burning vessel. The passengers, Capt. Randolph and the purser of the Montreal were amongst those who threw themselves into the river. Both being excellent swimmers, they succeeded in reaching the steamer Alliance, and were saved.

It is quite possible that some of the others succeeded in saving themselves by swimming, but as the steamer Napoleon was unequipped with a considerable distance from land, no doubt the most of those who threw themselves from the burning boat met a watery grave. Chances of those who were saved died shortly after reaching the deck of the Napoleon.

From present information, it is believed that the total loss of life by this terrible disaster will not fall far short of three or four hundred persons. The steamer Alliance arrived here this morning with a large number of the survivors. We have not been able to learn the names of any of those lost, except that of Mr. Phillips, of the extensive lumber firm of Norcross & Phillips, of Three Rivers.

The Montreal had on board 258 Scotch emigrants, several German families, and several American passengers.

TWO WEEKS LATER FROM CALIFORNIA.

Large Amount of Gold—Great Fire at Fort-au-Prince.

New York, June 28.—The steamer Illinois has arrived from Aspinwall, with the California mails of the 5th inst., and about two million dollars in specie. She brings nearly 800 passengers.

The bark J. R. Mera arrived at Panama on the 19th inst., with 300 of Walker's men on board. They were sent to Aspinwall and put on board the U. S. Sloop-of-War Roanoke.

The Illinois brings an accounf of a great fire at Fort-au-Prince, where one hundred houses were destroyed.

Judge Brubes comes passenger on board the Illinois.

The U. S. sloop of war Saratoga arrived at Aspinwall on the 15th. On the 16th the steamer of war Cyane, from San Juan del Norte, with 142 of Walker's men, arrived. The steamer Panama also arrived at Aspinwall on the 17th inst., with 142 of Walker's men. Three hundred more of Walker's men were on board the Roanoke when the Illinois sailed.

The Panama News Aspinwall state that a proposition had been presented to the New Granadian Congress, giving the President power to settle the difficulty between the United States and New Granada. It had not been acted on at the last accounts.

The news from the South Pacific is unimportant. Trade is quiet. The steamer General Vivanco is still at Arequipa. He still held possession of the steamers Aparimac and Peruvian, but remained inactive, and the Government of Castilla was considered as finally established.

The English and French protectorate over the Chincha Islands is confirmed.

A French steamer had left Callao for the islands, with the French Minister, to put the protectorate in force. It is said that the United States Minister had protested against the proceeding.

The United States ship St. Mary's sailed from Callao on the 13th. She has recently discovered guano islands and San Francisco. The ship Adams sailed on the 16th for Central America.

The latest advices from Costa Rica are to the 16th inst. Quiet still prevailed there, and the river San Juan was in possession of the Costa Ricans, to whom the import duties were paid.

More reliable intelligence from the Sonora expedition has been received. The main facts of the total annihilation of Col. Crabbe's party are fully confirmed.

The trial of Edward McGowan, on the charge of being accessory to the murder of James King, at San Francisco, had been brought to a termination, and he was found guilty.

The Vigilance Committee of San Francisco was to be held at San Francisco on the 16th of July. The most prominent gubernatorial aspirant, ex-Senator John B. Weller, encounters opposition from the Broderick clique, who will use every exertion to insure the nomination of their candidate.

Great indignation is felt throughout the State against the Mormons at Salt Lake, since the developments made by Judge Drummond concerning them.

FOUR DAYS LATER FROM EUROPE.

River Du Loupe, June 27.—The Canadian screw steamship Indian, with Liverpool dates of the 17th inst., passed this point, on her passage to Quebec and Montreal, at an early hour this morning.

The steamers City of Baltimore, from Liverpool, and North Star and Hermann, from Southampton, all for New York, sailed on the same day as the Indian.

The steamer Niagara's advices reached Liverpool on the 14th.

GREAT BRITAIN.

Parliament was discussing the Jews disabilities bill. The Roman Catholic amendment was defeated by an immense majority. The bill was sustained on a test question by a vote of 34 to 206.

The London *Times* advocates the abolition of Slavery in Cuba, as the only effectual means of checking the slave trade.

The Queen was expected had been held at Aahton, under the auspices of the new "Cotton Supply Association." Resolutions similar to those adopted at Liverpool were passed.

The great Handel festival took place at the Crystal Palace, Sydenham, on the 13th. The band and chorus numbered 2,500 persons, and the attendance was very large.

The baptism of the infant Princess of England took place in the chapel, in Buckingham palace, on the 10th instant. She was named Beatrice Mary Victoria.

Consols closed at 93¼ for account.

The American ship Robena arrived at the Woolwich quarantine on the 15th, having on board six breech-loading guns manufactured in New York by order of the British Government.

It was understood that arrangements had been perfected for running the Canadian line of steamers between Liverpool and Quebec direct, by the Island of Anticosti, as a mail route. A contract was also to be immediately entered into for building a number of new and more powerful steamers.

The funeral of Douglas Jerrold took place on the 15th, and was attended by a large number of the most eminent men of literature and art.

FRANCE.

The French election matters are unchanged. The opposition candidates are divided in Paris. The Orleanist Republican fusion was in full force, doing all it could to insure the return of at least a few deputies.

It was stated that Napoleon was to have an interview with the Czar in Germany in July.

The treaty of commerce between Russia and France has been signed.

The official returns for 1856 shows great increase in the imports and exports.

BELGIUM.

The closing of the sessions had been formally decreed, as well as the adjournment of the bill and charitable establishments.

AUSTRIA.

Austria was making further concessions to the Hungarians.

Rumors were rife of a conference of the potentates of the Italian States. The Pope of Rome, the Emperor of Austria, the King of Naples, and others, it is said, would also be present at it.

GENERAL SUMMARY.

A steam schooner has recently been built in Bangor, Maine, for the purpose of raising the steamer Philadelphia, off near Cape Race a few years ago. The submarine apparatus to be used is thus described:

"The diving-bells are made of the best boiler-plate iron, strongly rivited—one of them 9 feet by 6 on the base, and 6 feet high above the floor square—both about 6 feet high, and narrowing towards the top, and furnished with air pipes and valves, so that men can work in them comfortably while under the water.

"The armor, of which there is two suits, made by Messrs. I. S. Tompkins & Son, of Boston, consists of a jacket and such other clothing, and made air-tight, fitting so closely at the wrists and ankles as to be completely impervious to the water—this being screwed tightly at the neck into a copper breast-plate, which has a large enough to allow several inches of space all around the head. The helmet has a sort of cape of the same material, resting on the shoulders, and sustaining the weight of the whole, which is between 30 and 40 pounds when out of water. It is furnished with three circular windows, and a T. It also has connected with it a pipe for the admission of air and a valve to permit its exit, and thus to give a constant change—the water being excluded by a valve by the diver himself. The pipe furnishing the air to the diver (and permitting him to move upon the bottom of the sea, with heavy weights attached to the feet) is made of an inch thick, made of seven or eight thicknesses of strong cloth saturated with and cemented together by gutta percha, and is capable of resisting great pressure, and is at the same time elastic and pliable."

The United States District Cnrt for the Southern District of Illinois has decided that *watches* are not mailable matter:

"A postmaster was tried for robbing the mail of two watches, which were in a box which contained also a letter or writing; and Judge Treat decided, that as watches were not mailable matter, the prisoner must be acquitted of the charge—which was done accordingly. The jury, therefore, rendered a verdict of not guilty. The prisoner was detained long enough to allow of his re-arrest by the county authorities, on a charge of forgery."

The total valnation of the State of Connecticut is shown to be $214,000,000. New Haven is the wealthiest city of the State, having property to the amount of twenty-one millions of dollars.

Mayor Wood, of New York, is at last ont of Sheriff's custody. Upon the trial of the writ of *habeas corpus* before Judge Russell, it was proved that the Mayor did not know the errand of Coroner Perry, who came to arrest him.

The New York *Times* says:

"Advices have been received from Santa Fe's New Mexico, to the 30th of May. Vigorous measures were being adopted to punish the Indians for their numerous outrages upon the settlements. Three hundred and fifty troops, under Col. Bonneville, were entering the Gila Apache country for that purpose. The Governor of the Mexican State of Chihuahua also designed sending a like force against the Apaches, which he would co-operate with Col. Bonneville."

The Republicans of Maine have nominated Lot M. Morrill for Governor.

The Boston *Traveller* has a Kansas letter dated June 18, from which we extract the following paragraphs:

"The returns of Monday's election come in very slowly, but enough are received here to show that the whole affair has proved a most complete fizzle.

"The number of votes polled here was but 225. The whole number in this county (which is the most populous in the State, and contains several thousand votes) does not exceed 500. Jefferson county, which has also a large population, is said to have polled but 50 votes. Douglas county, which includes Lawrence and Lecompton, and embraces a large and populous region in that portion of the Territory, gives 174 ballots. In Franklin county it is claimed that there are 500 voters, but I am assured, by a gentleman who has just arrived from there, that there has been practically no election there. He says he has been told by a solicitor, to a lady who has been grievously injured by the imputation cast upon her by the reference to an alleged private fact in the unhappy career of Beamwell Bronte.

New potatoes are selling at Harriaburgh, Pa., at eight cents a quart.

One or two of the papers of Mexico advocate a dictatorship for that republic. A bad sign.

The New Orleans papers of June 16th give an account of the detection of a conspiracy of the friends of Santa Anna, in the city of Mexico, to overthrow the existing Government. Among the persons arrested were a large number of military officers and State dignitaries, under former administrations, who were at once sent off to the chain gang at Yucatan. The *Mexican Extraordinary*, speaking of their appointment, says it describes the scene as one

"On Wednesday morning we witnessed the novelty of a score of fashionables chained in couples, and marching through the streets with the usual dignity of the institute, one of the editors of the *Journal des Debats*, Orleanist.

"*Second District*—Bethmont, former Ministerial.

"*Third District*—General Cavaignac.

"*Fourth District*—Emile Ollivier, lawyer.

"*Fifth District*—Carnot, former Minister.

"*Sixth District*—Gondchaux, former Minister.

"*Seventh District*—Darimon, one of the editors of *La Presse*.

"*Eighth District*—Varin, former Representative of the People.

"*Ninth District*—F. de Lasteyrie, former Representative.

"*Tenth District*—Regnault, former Under-Prefect of Soesux."

We make the following extract from the preface to Charlotte Bronte's *first* story, now about to be published. It was written just previous to the author's death:

"I paid to myself,' she says, 'that my hero should work his way through life as I had never real living men work theirs—that he should never get a shilling he had not earned—that no sudden turn should lift him in a moment into wealth and high station; that whatever small competency he might gain, should be won by the sweat of his brow; that before he could find an oasle as an object to look on to, he should master at least half the ascent of the Hill of Difficulty; that he should not even marry a beautiful girl or one high in rank; as Alexander, he should share Adam's doom, and drain throughout life a mixed and moderate cup of enjoyment. In the sequel, however, I found I had published a book, against my judgment in this system; but would have liked something more imaginative and poetical, something more consonant with a highly-wrought fancy, with a taste for rather lofty and romantic tenderness, elevated, unworldly. Indeed, until an author has tried to dispose of a manuscript of this kind, he can never know what stores of patience and sensibility lie hidden in breasts he would not have suspected of casketing such treasures.'"

The Wheat Crop in Virginia.—Several farmers have already commenced cutting their wheat near Fredericksburg, and next week harvest will begin generally throughout the State. A parcel of new wheat was received at Petersburg a few days ago. The yield is reported to be unusually large, as also the quality.

Crops in Georgia.—The wheat harvest in Georgia is now being gathered. A letter in the New York *Journal of Commerce*, from Albany, says that, both as to quantity and quality, it is the best ever had in Georgia. Oats have suffered from rust, but will nevertheless yield an average yield is harvested, or will be. Corn is backward and small for the season of the year, but is generally healthy, and may yet turn out well. The rains have been too much interfered fully to recover, no matter how much it may be favored hereafter.

Benevolent Movement.—The Alexandria (Va.) *Gazette* states that the Odd Fellows of that city have taken steps to make necessary arrangements to establish a male and female school in that city, where the children of deceased members are to be educated free of expense.

An Asylum for the Oppressed.—We learn from the *Gazette* that Col. George C. Mendenhall, of Jamestown, Guilford county, North Carolina, passed through this city on Wednesday morning last, on his way to Logan county, Ohio.

Colonel Mendenhall had with him five negro women, slaves who had been reared in his family, for whom he had recently purchased, and, taking them to their destination in Logan county, having provided for them comfortable homes, and also the means to support themselves until they were able to take care of themselves as free women. This makes the fourth trip that the Colonel has made to this State within a few years, and fifty slaves that he has emancipated and settled in Ohio.

Mr. Mendenhall has shown himself a true philanthropist, and the blessings of the poor and the suffering will follow him.—*Columbus (Ohio) State Journal.*

Death of Douglas Jerrold.—By the Persia we have intelligence of the death of Douglas Jerrold. He was in appearance a thin, dark Frenchman, and was generally supposed to be a Frenchman. He was also supposed to be a Jew, as he was known to be a Radical. He was a Radical in politics, and a Radical in religion. He was a Radical in his hatred of humbug, and a Radical in his love of fun. He was a Radical in his hatred of cant, and a Radical in his love of truth. He was a Radical in his hatred of oppression, and a Radical in his love of liberty. He was a Radical in his hatred of tyranny, and a Radical in his love of justice. He was a Radical in his hatred of aristocracy, and a Radical in his love of democracy. He was a Radical in his hatred of monarchy, and a Radical in his love of republicanism. He was a Radical in his hatred of despotism, and a Radical in his love of freedom. He was a Radical in his hatred of superstition, and a Radical in his love of reason. He was a Radical in his hatred of priestcraft, and a Radical in his love of philosophy. He was a Radical in his hatred of bigotry, and a Radical in his love of toleration. He was a Radical in his hatred of intolerance, and a Radical in his love of liberality. He was a Radical in his hatred of Puseyism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Popery, and a Radical in his love of Protestantism. He was a Radical in his hatred of Mahometanism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Judaism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Paganism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Atheism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Infidelity, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Deism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Pantheism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Socinianism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Unitarianism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Universalism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Swedenborgianism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Mormonism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Mohammedanism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Buddhism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Confucianism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Zoroastrianism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Brahminism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Fetishism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Idolatry, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Polytheism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Monotheism, and a Radical in his love of Christianity. He was a Radical in his hatred of Christianity

WASHINGTON, D. C.

THE SOUTHERN PRESS.

From the Richmond Whig, June 16.

THE FREE STATE MOVEMENT IN KANSAS.

The sly and systematic movement inaugurated by the present Administration, and in process of execution under the auspices of Walker, to exclude Slavery from Kansas, and thus inflict a fatal blow upon the interests of the South, is arousing an excitement of attention, from the bulk of the Northern press, which indicates a deep-felt and almost universal disquietude and indignation on the part of the Southern people. The whole train of events connected with the agitation of the Slavery question has provoked so much angry comment, or excited so general a distrust of the sincerity and honesty and fair dealing of the men selected to administer the affairs of Government. What is so specially significant in the present temper of the public mind at the North, touching the existing movement in Kansas, is, that those who aided in the elevation of Mr. Buchanan to the Presidency—the Democracy themselves—are the loudest in their denunciations of the movement in their denunciations of his crooked and faithless policy. If Whigs alone were the complainants in the case, the Administration might be plausibly ascribed to partisan intolerance and malice. But such is not the case. It is the original friends and supporters of Buchanan and Breckinridge, who are the first to denounce the Administration of their own making, and who are daily engaged in exposing its hypocrisies and its frauds.

Little did any one of these ardent Democrats dream, during the heat of their advocacy of Buchanan's election last fall—although the fact was often proclaimed by the Whig press—that in less than three months after the inauguration of their new President, the South would be guilty beyond a doubt of having delivered over bodily to the Free-Soilers and Abolitionists. Little did they imagine that the promises and assurances which they then made to the Southern people, in regard to the security, equality and reliability of their candidate for the Presidency, would be so soon falsified and annulled by the events of his Administration. Little did they conceive that the time would be the most grateful and painful task of tearing from the brow of James Buchanan the beautiful and captivating wreath with which their partiality had adorned him. Yet so it is. Three short months ago, the man of their choice and of their most extravagant encomiums succeeded to power, and behold! his administration and the pleasing anticipations of all of them; but *now* he is the special object of their distrust, rebuke, and denunciation. They feel and know that he has deceived and betrayed them, as every one of their Presidents, without exception, have done before; and their only alternative now is to advance step by step in the march to the Southern people, for having palmed off upon them another "Northern man with Southern principles," to use the phrase which was always contended he was, of Martin Van Buren.

It is very true that the Presidential contest last fall turned upon the question of Slavery or no Slavery in Kansas, but that was the paramount issue in the Southern States, and the Southern people were appealed to and invoked to support Buchanan because his election to the Presidency would insure the ascendency of the slave power in Kansas, through his faithful adhesion to the doctrine of non-intervention, as set forth in the Kansas-Nebraska bill of 1850, and which such was the fact, and such the predominant idea of the Southern people, we quote a paragraph to that effect from the leading Whig print of that day. Speaking of the last Presidential contest, that paper says: "The Kansas controversy was a controlling issue in the canvass; and Mr. Buchanan was elected by a majority of Slavery strength in the South, to the conviction that he was *favorable to the interests of Slavery in the Territory*, and would protect it there." Is not this conclusive? It can be easily enough established, out of the columns of any Democratic paper this side of the Potomac. When the friends of Fillmore brought out their ticket in Pennsylvania, with the inscription of "Buchanan, Breckinridge, and *Free Kansas*," did we not indignantly denounce it as a fraud, and a flat violation of making Kansas a free State, and did we not protest his devotion to the interests of the South? The people believed us, and Mr. Buchanan was elected by the almost unanimous vote of the South."

"*The people believed us, and Mr. Buchanan was elected by the almost unanimous vote of the South.*" Nor was that the first time that the Southern people ever placed confidence in the representations of the Democracy touching the existence and reliability of their Presidential candidate on the Slavery question. In every similar contest for twenty years or more, commencing with that of 1836, when Mr. Van Buren had a repetition of the scenes enacted last fall. The ears of the Southern people have been stunned with assurances that the Presidential candidate of the Democracy was sound to the last of all, and would be elected. We were so assured in the case of Van Buren, and what has he proved himself to be? We were so assured in the case of Polk, and did he not sign the Oregon bill with the Wilmot proviso attached? We were so assured in the case of Cass; and who stands forth to-day, the open and avowed champion of the odious and detestable heresy of Squatter Sovereignty? We were so assured in the case of Pierce; and who is the leader of the Free-Softers of his party in New York, as against the Nationals, and was he not denounced therefor by his own organs, from one end of the South to the other, to the last of all, and worse than all, we were so assured in the case of Buchanan; and what is now—in less than three months after his inauguration—the condition there by Pierce prevent those who have committed so many crimes from being punished as they deserve. And will the Federal officer confirmed by Mr. Buchanan upon the perpetrators of crime shield them from the arm of justice, when Kansas becomes a State.

Correspondence of the South Side Democrat.

FREE NEGROES.

MECKLENBURG CO., *June* 18, 1857.

Something should be done by our next Legislature to get rid of this valuable and intolerable class, as well as to relieve ourselves of their presence. Every day furnishes us with additional materials of woof to convince us that the South is the natural home of the race, and exist in the same community. The presence of the free black in our midst breeds corruption, and it is necessary that we should use means to rid ourselves of them; and the sooner that end is accomplished, the sooner will we be enabled to control the conduct of the slave. The free negro is a nuisance to society, and the only slave is enabled to dispose of stolen effects—the medium through which the slave is enabled to procure ardent spirits—the medium through which the free negro is enabled to be enabled to work mischief—in fine, he is an agent of corruption, powerful to do harm, and impotent to do good.

The negro has thus far been a drone, an ignoramus, and incapable of improvement. The Legislature of this State, as well as to relieve ourselves of their presence. Every day furnishes us with additional materials of woof to convince us that the South is the natural home of the race, and exist in the same community. The presence of the free black in our midst breeds corruption, and it is necessary that we should use means to rid ourselves of them; and the sooner that end is accomplished, the sooner will we be enabled to control the conduct of the slave. The free negro is a nuisance to society, and the only slave is enabled to dispose of stolen effects—the medium through which the slave is enabled to procure ardent spirits—the medium through which the free negro is enabled to be enabled to work mischief—in fine, he is an agent of corruption, powerful to do harm, and impotent to do good.

The negro has thus far been a drone, an ignoramus, and incapable of improvement. The Legislature of this State will be asked to memorialize the Free-Soilers of his party in New York, as against the Nationals, and was he not denounced therefor by his own organs, from one end of the South to the other, to the last of all, and worse than all, we were so assured in the case of Buchanan; and what is now—in less than three months after his inauguration—the condition there by Pierce prevent those who have committed so many crimes from being punished as they deserve. And will the Federal officer confirmed by Mr. Buchanan upon the perpetrators of crime shield them from the arm of justice, when Kansas becomes a State.

THE NORTHERN PRESS.

From the Belleville (Ill.) Advocate.

NORTHERN AGGRESSION AND SOUTHERN FORBEARANCE.

The Independence of the United States was acknowledged by Great Britain in 1783. The area of the thirteen States was 827,844 square miles, was $20,680. In the year 1803, Louisiana was purchased of France, for which fifteen millions of dollars were paid. The area of this Territory was 1,171,931 miles. The United States, Louisiana, Missouri, and Arkansas, have been formed out of this Territory, and admitted into the Union. Florida was purchased from Spain north of the parallel 36° 30′ was consecrated to Freedom by the Missouri Compromise. Bogus Democracy has discovered that this Compromise was unconstitutional, and has the hope that a single free State will be added to the Union formed out of the Territory purchased of France. It will be the last State admitted to the United States. By this cession, the area of Slavery was enlarged 65,900 square miles. The Texas grab game is now understood by all. The Texas Democracy, like the wicked monkey, the South sent colonies into Texas. The colonists got up a war with Mexico, and, under the Democratic Federal Government influence, soon after applied for admission into the Union, and the area of Slavery was still further enlarged by 318,000 square miles. Next followed the Oregon Treaty, by which was acquired 308,052 square miles. The passage of the Kansas-Nebraska bill virtually opened all the territory of the United States to Slavery, but the territory had been principally settled by emigrants from Western Missouri. Their ability to force Slavery into any Territory has been pretty clearly demonstrated in the State of Kansas. The chances are now in favor of Oregon's being admitted as a slave State. Next in order comes the treaty with Mexico, by which we gained 526,078 square miles of new territory. The accidental discovery of gold in California caused such a rush of emigration from the free States and Europe, that the territory was for the first and only time driven to the wall. Since the peace of 1783, by purchase, cession, filibustering, and war, we have added to the national domain 1,435,655 square miles of territory of $830,000,000. What have the free States gained from the territory thus gained? The admission of seven States, Minnesota, Oregon, Kansas and two Representatives. What have the slave States gained? Louisiana, Missouri, Arkansas, Florida, and Texas, and eight Senators and fourteen Representatives.

AGGRESSION!

The census of 1850 gives the number of whites in the free States at 13,336,650, while the number of whites in the States is placed at 6,222,418, giving the free States a little more than twice the slave States. The United States Treasury Reports show that more than three-fourths of the cash paid into the Treasury is drawn from the free States. The free States, then, have paid $622,500,000 the territory acquired since the peace of 1783, and their show for the outlay of this vast capital is, with a majority of Colleges and Senators and two Representatives! To sum up, we, the people of the free States, furnish more than three-fourths of the money to foot the bills for territory which is prostituted to Slavery. Is it any wonder that we, the people of the free States, have paid $622,500,000 for